Case 1:11-cv-11876-JLT Document 16 Filed 10/28/11 Page 10/28/11

KAISER SAURBORN & MAIR, P.C.

COUNSELDRS AT LAW

111 Broadway New York, NY 10006 Tel 212 338 9100 Pax 212 338 9088 www.ksmlaw.com

October 27, 2011

BY Email (zita lovett@m:d.uscourts.gov)

Zita Lovett
Courtroom Clerk
Honorable Joseph L. Tauro
United States District Judge
District of Massachusetts
John Joseph Moakley U.S. Courthouse
1 Courthouse Way, Suite 23:00
Boston, Massachusetts, 02210

Wall Street Lystems (Americas), Inc. v. Gregory Person

Dear Ms. Lovett:

We represent the defendant, Gregory Person, in connection with the above-referenced matter. I write in response to plaintiff's counsel's, Barry Waters, correspondence to you today.

Mr. Waters states that because Mr. Person may allegedly attend a trade show, that "Wall Street's customer relationships, trade secrets, and confidential information are at immediate risk" First, Mr. Person is not attending the trade show referred to by Mr. Waters, though he certainly could if he chose to. Second. customer relations do not warrant, under New York law, enforcement of a non-compete. Ronald W. Freeman P.C. v. Zhu, 209 A.D.2d 213, 214 (1st Dep't 1994)(holding solicitation of plaintiff's customers by defendant, as a former employee, is not actionable, nor is trade secret protection accorded to the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of clients and potential clients of the plaintiff. . . where as here the record reveals that the alleged confidential custo ner lists were readily ascertainable from the non-confidential sources by reference to, among other publications, local phone listings); Columbia Ribbon, 42 N.Y.2d at 499 ("where the eraployer's past or prospective customers' names are readily ascertainable from sources outside its business, trade secret protection will not attach and their solicitation by the employee will not be enjoined); Leo Silfen, Inc. v. Cream, 29 N.Y.2d 387, 389 (1972); Business Networks of New York v. Complete Network Solutions Inc., 265 A.D.2d 194 (1st Dep't 1999); WMW Machine; v Co., Inc. v. Koerber, 240 A.D.2d 400, 402 (2nd Dep't 1997) (customer lists not confidential where readily obtainable from outside sources).

Third, there is absolutely no evidence pointed to by Plaintiff that Mr. Person has ever divulged confidential information or trade secrets, and Defendant has no intention of doing so, as

KAISER SAURBORN & MAIR, P.C.

Ms. Zita Lovett

Re: Wall Street Systems v. Greg Person

October 27, 2011

Page - 2 -

defendant does not dispute his obligations pursuant to the non-disclosure agreement he signed.

Defendant respectfully requests sufficient time to adequately respond.

Respectfully submitted,

William H. Kaiser

cc: Susan J. Baronoff, Esq.

(Sbaronoff@murtha_iw.com)

WHK/ho